submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/SAZ20 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Goers, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7343



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003242

_	Box No	o. l	Basis of the opinion								
1.	the lan	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.									
	la: (ນ	language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).									
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:										
	a. type	naterial:									
		as	equence listing								
		tab	e(s) related to the sequence listing								
	b. format of material:										
		in v	written format								
		in o	computer readable form								
	c. time	e of f	iling/furnishing:								
		COI	ntained in the international application as filed.								
		file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.								
		fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.								
3.	. h	as b	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.								

4. Additional comments:

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE\* INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International:application No. PCT/GB2004/003242

IN	TERNAII	IONAL SEARCI	IIIVG AL	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				100				
			**************************************					Allena a				
	Box No. II	Priority										
1.	☑ The fo	llowing document h	as not be	en furnished	:							
	×	copy of the earlier	application	n whose pri	ority has bee	n claimed (F	Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1	and 66.7(a	1)).			
		translation of the										
	never	equently it has not b theless been establ	een possi ished on t	ble to consid he assumpti	ler the validity on that the re	y of the prior levant date	rity claim. This the claime	nis opinion ed priority d	has late.			
2.	☐ This c	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.										
3.	Additional	observations, if neo										
		/ Reasoned stat			L:- 4/->/i) wi	th regard t	o novelty II	nventive st	ep or			
	Box No. V	/ Reasoned stat applicability; cita	ement un tions and	explanation	ns supportin	g such sta	tement					
1.	Statement			_								
	Novelty (N	1)	Yes No:	: Claims Claims	1-22							
	Inventive	step (IS)	Yes	: Claims								
			No:	Claims	1-22							
	Industrial	applicability (IA)	Yes No:		1-22							
2	Citations	and explanations				8 -						
_		rate sheet										
	200 - 2 PP-											

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL:SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No. T/GB2004/003242

#### Re Item V.

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

US 5 281.430 A (HERRON JOHN R ET AL) 25 January 1994 (1994-01-25)

D2: US 3 532 621 A (HOUGH WILLIAM THOMAS) 6 October 1970 (1970-19-06)

D3: WO 97/18166 A (OSMOTEK INC) 22 May 1997 (1997-05-22) D4: US 4 781 837 A (LEFEBVRE MICHEL S M) 1 November 1988 (1988-11-01)

D5: WO 99/39799 A (MCGINNIS ROBERT L) 12 August 1999 (1999-08-12)

- The principle of the direct or forward osmosis process is well known in the art. Documents D1-D5 are examples of typical embodiments and applications. It is an compulsory feature that the membrane is dense for the respective solutes used on the permeate side (which are known as "osmotic agents"). Thus the pore size is directly dependent on the osmotic agent used i.e. if the osmotic agent in the prior art is the same as in the application, the pore size is also implicitly disclosed.
- Novelty 2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-22 is not novel.
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-18, 21 and 22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT in the light of D4. Document D4 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): A process for the desalination of seawater comprising a membrane module for osmotic distillation with a MgSO<sub>4</sub> osmotic agent second solution circulated in a recycle loop comprising a reverse osmosis extraction step (fig. 2). It is implicitly given, that the pressure resulting from the osmotic distillation increases the driving force of the reverse osmosis process.
  - Fig. 1 shows a different embodiment (fruit juice concentration), where seawater is used as second solution. Seawater comprises both MgSO, and NaCl.
- 2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 7-13, 17 and 20-22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT in the light of D1. Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

N.CiriInternational application No.

A process for direct osmosis concentration of products with sucrose, fructose or glucose as osmotic agents (col. 10, il 40-44). In the recycling loop an evaporation step is foreseen (fig, 3).

Example 4 further discloses the use of an anti-fouling and anti-scaling agent (Ultrasil).

- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-4, 7-17, 19, 21 and 22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT in the light of D3.
  Document D3 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): A direct osmosis process using salt or sugar as osmotic agents (p. 7, Il 17-22) and being combined with reverse osmosis (fig. 3) or electrodialysis (fig. 6).
  Embodiments with series of direct osmosis steps are further disclosed (fig. 12 and 14).
- 2.4 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-13, 15-17, 21 and 22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT in the light of D2.
  D2 discloses the combination with a precipitation unit as solvent recovery step. For further details reference is made to the citation in the search report.
- 2.5 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-17, 19, 21 and 22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT in the light of D5.
  D5 discloses a multi-step direct osmosis (cf. fig. 1 and 2) for the treatment of seawater or industrial wastewater using sucrose or salts as osmotic agents (p. 10, l. 23 p. 11, l. 2) combination with a precipitation unit as solvent recovery step. For further details reference is made to the citation in the search report.

#### Re Item VIII.

- The option "reverse osmosis" is listed twice in claim 3.
- Back references of claims 8, 11-14, 17, 19 and 20 are incorrect as they include references to both independent claims 1 and 7.
- iii The subject matter of claim 11 does not disclose a technical feature.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

WE:

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003242

iv The effect as described by the subject matter of claim 17 is inherently involved with the process of direct osmosis. The claimed subject matter thus is regarded to be a discovery.